

From: [City of Cupertino Planning Dept.](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Vallco Shopping District Comments for EIR
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:21:04 PM
Attachments: [Comments for Vallco Shopping District Specific Plan EIR.pdf](#)

From the Planning Department's general mailbox:

From: Kitty Moore [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 5:33 PM
To: City of Cupertino Planning Dept. <planning@cupertino.org>
Cc: City Clerk <CityClerk@cupertino.org>; Cupertino City Manager's Office <manager@cupertino.org>; Darcy Paul <DPaul@cupertino.org>; Rod Sinks <RSinks@cupertino.org>; Barry Chang <BChang@cupertino.org>; Savita Vaidhyanathan <svaidhyanathan@cupertino.org>; Steven Scharf <SScharf@cupertino.org>; City Attorney's Office <CityAttorney@cupertino.org>
Subject: Fwd: Vallco Shopping District Comments for EIR

Dear Planning Department,

The following forwarded message from March 9, indicates my EIR comments for the Vallco Shopping District and concern about the EIR process and inconsistent alternatives.

Sincerely,

Kitty Moore

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kitty Moore [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, Mar 9, 2018 at 4:35 PM
Subject: Vallco Shopping District Comments for EIR
To: <planning@cupertino.org>, City Council <citycouncil@cupertino.org>, Darcy Paul <dpaul@cupertino.org>
Cc: <randolphh@cupertino.org>

Dear Cupertino Planning Department, Mayor Paul, and council members,

Attached please find my preliminary Comments for the EIR for the Vallco Shopping District. Please take the steps necessary to find a viable "Proposed Project" under CEQA which would have a potential of being passed by City Council. The CEQA EIR process for this project currently, is irregular.

Here is a brief summary:

Conclusions:

1. The “Proposed Project” does not appear to be consistent with the General Plan because it is an office park with over 84% non-retail use when the project is detailed as the “Vallco Shopping District.”
2. The “Proposed Project” frustrates the General Plan goal to balance employment with housing by providing a gross excess of jobs to housing.
3. Cupertino Ballot Measure D, a similar proposal to “Proposed Project”, was placed before voters and was rejected 55%. This project, with the high office square footage has scant support and would likely be rejected by City Council.
4. “No Project” would be a fourth alternative, Occupied/Re-tenanted mall is not the same as “No Project”
5. Alternative B, with conflicting 2,600-4,000 residential units, is inconstant with the General Plan.
6. Alternative C is too insufficiently described to determine if is consistent with the General Plan. Portions of the mixed uses were eliminated, which seems inconsistent.
7. For the above reasons, the EIR process must be halted for a replacement “Proposed Project” which is consistent with the General Plan.

Thank you!

Cupertino Resident living one mile from Vallco and a founding Bay Club (Vallco) member

Total Control Panel

[Login](#)

To: planning@cupertino.org [Remove](#) this sender from my allow list

From: [REDACTED]

You received this message because the sender is on your allow list.