

From: [City of Cupertino Planning Dept.](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Records Request for Various addresses at Vallco, Separate Applications for Each Attached
Date: Friday, June 22, 2018 1:46:00 PM
Attachments: [FD Summary Files Showing what was given to Cornerstone from FD and how previous requests turned up nothing.pdf](#)

FYI...

From: Kitty Moore [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2018 1:45 PM
To: Cupertino City Manager's Office <manager@cupertino.org>
Cc: City of Cupertino Planning Dept. <planning@cupertino.org>; Darcy Paul <DPaul@cupertino.org>; City Attorney's Office <CityAttorney@cupertino.org>; Rod Sinks <RSinks@cupertino.org>; Savita Vaidhyanathan <svaidhyanathan@cupertino.org>; Barry Chang <BChang@cupertino.org>; Esq. Bern Steves [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Records Request for Various addresses at Vallco, Separate Applications for Each Attached

Dear City Manager's Office,

Please notice that only the current Vallco ESA shows the FD records, the previous ESAs provided by Vallco Property owner show **no records from the FD**. This information is in the attachments.

I have attached the records the FD provided Cornerstone Earth Group.

The hazardous materials apparently onsite should be registered where? Aren't there some state and federal laws which must be complied with?

I am particularly curious about the 10,000 cu ft of Freon 22, the status of the battery acid neutralization chamber, the ASTs, removal of USTs with no documentation, and the accounting errors on the USTs.

You have this information all at your fingertips. It seems to me that stating the Vallco Site is compliant, would make the City Complicit (in fact the lack of information the city has provided the various ESA's is darn curious! That is in the attachment as well.

Sincerely,

Kitty Moore

To: planning@cupertino.org [Remove](#) this sender from my allow list

From [REDACTED]

You received this message because the sender is on your allow list.